Neural Representations of the Voice Tremor Spectrum
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ABSTRACT: Objectives: Voice tremor is a common movement disorder that manifests as involuntary oscillations of laryngeal muscles, leading to rhythmic alterations in voice pitch and loudness. Differential diagnosis of essential tremor of voice (ETv) is often challenging and includes dystonic tremor of voice (DTV), which is characterized by irregular, isometric contractions of laryngeal muscles during dystonic activity. Although clinical characteristics of voice tremor are well described, the pathophysiology underlying its heterogeneous phenomenology remains limited.

Methods: We used a multimodal approach of functional magnetic resonance imaging for assessment of brain activity during symptomatic speech production, high-resolution magnetic resonance imaging for the examination of cortical thickness and gray matter volume, and diffusion-weighted imaging for evaluation of white matter integrity to identify disorder-specific neural alterations and their relationships with the symptomatology of ETv and DTV.

Results: We found a broad overlap between cortical alterations in ETv and DTV, involving sensorimotor regions responsible for the integration of multisensory information during speech production, such as primary sensorimotor, inferior/superior parietal, and inferior temporal cortices. In addition, ETv and DTV showed unique patterns of abnormalities in regions controlling speech motor preparation, which were localized in the cerebellum in ETv and the premotor cortex, insula, and superior temporal gyrus in DTV. Neural alterations in superior parietal and inferior temporal cortices were correlated with ETv severity, whereas changes in the left premotor cortex were associated with DTV severity.

Conclusions: Our findings point to the pathophysiological spectrum underlying ETv and DTV and favor a more heterogeneous rather than dichotomous diagnostic classification of these voice tremor disorders. © 2020 International Parkinson and Movement Disorder Society
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Voice tremor is a common movement disorder that manifests as involuntary oscillations of laryngeal muscles, leading to rhythmic alterations in pitch and loudness during active and passive tasks, such as speaking and breathing.1 Voice tremor presents either in isolation or in combination with tremor of upper extremities, under the umbrella of essential tremor (ET).2 Differential diagnosis of essential tremor of voice (ETv) is often challenging and includes other movement disorders.3 Among these is dystonic tremor of voice (DTV), which is observed in about one-third of patients with laryngeal dystonia (LD) and is characterized by irregular, isometric contractions of laryngeal muscles during dystonic activity, selectively affecting speech production.4

Clinically, both similarities and differences between patients with ETv and DTV have been described. DTV, in combination with LD, shows intermediate age and sex distributions between ETv and LD without tremor.5 Botulinum toxin injections yield a better therapeutic response in LD without tremor, intermediate in DTV, and worse in ETv.6–8 In contrast, alcohol is more beneficial for symptom improvement in ETv, followed by DTV and LD without tremor.9–11 Despite this refined understanding of...
clinical characteristics and therapeutic outcomes of voice tremor disorders, the current knowledge of their pathophysiological traits is very limited.

Our recent studies investigated alterations in brain structure and function in LD versus DTv and suggested that these disorders may be at the different ends of the same pathophysiological spectrum, with common changes in the basal ganglia, sensorimotor, and parietal cortical regions responsible for speech control. However, how abnormalities in DTv overlap or separate from those in ETv remain unknown, thus hindering the broader understanding of the pathophysiology underlying the heterogeneous phenomenology of voice tremor.

In this study, we used a comprehensive multimodal brain imaging approach of functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) for assessment of brain activity during symptomatic speech production, high-resolution MRI for examination of cortical thickness (CT) and gray matter volume, and diffusion-weighted imaging for evaluation of white matter integrity to identify neural alterations in ETv and DTv and define their relationships with symptomatology of these voice tremor disorders. Because DTv always occurs in combination with LD, DTv-specific neural signatures were separated from those associated with LD by an additional contrast between patients with LD/DTv and a separate group of patients with LD without any forms of tremor. Given previous evidence of similar alterations in the sensorimotor and cerebello-thalamo-cortical networks in ET and dystonia, we hypothesized the presence of overlapping abnormalities within these circuitries in ETv and DTv. We further hypothesized that additional, segregated alterations differentiating ETv from DTv would be present in brain regions involved in multisensory integration and motor preparation for voice and speech production, thus underlying disorder-specific symptomatology in these related but clinically distinct forms of voice tremor.

**Subjects and Methods**

**Subjects**

Ninety-three subjects participated in this study (Table 1). The patient cohort included 18 patients with ETv (age 62.5 ± 12.2 years, 15 women/3 men), of whom 9 had isolated voice tremor (age 64.1 ± 10 years, 7 women/2 men) and 9 had voice tremor combined with hand tremor (age 60.8 ± 14.5 years, 7 women/2 men); 25 patients with LD/DTv (age 60.2 ± 10.8 years, 22 women/3 men); and 25 patients with LD without DTv or any other tremor (age 53.7 ± 9.5 years, 22 women/3 men). Control subjects were 25 age- and sex-matched healthy individuals (age 54.2 ± 8.5 years, 18 women/7 men).

The diagnosis of tremor was established based on a recommended multidisciplinary approach, including a detailed case history, acoustic perceptual voice evaluation, and neurological and laryngological examinations. Exclusion criteria for both patients and control subjects were any neurological, psychiatric, or laryngeal disorders, except for ETv, DTv, or LD in the patient cohorts. All subjects were right-handed, as determined by the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory, and native English speakers with a normal cognitive status as determined by the Montreal Cognitive Assessment and Mini-Mental State Examination. None had known verified gene mutations, including TOR1A/ DYT1, TUBB4A/DYT4, THAP1/DYT6, or GNAL/DYT25. All patients were fully symptomatic at the time of study participation. None of the subjects were taking any centrally acting medications. All subjects abstained from alcohol and caffeine for 24 hours before study participation. Botulinum toxin was used as a treatment in 2 patients with ETv, 10 patients with LD/DTv, and 17 patients with LD (Table 1). Due to the different number of patients in each group who received botulinum toxin injections, this treatment might have represented a confounding factor in the between-group analysis. However, all patients participated in the study at least 3 months after their last injection, when they were fully symptomatic. Moreover, no significant differences in the duration of botulinum toxin treatment or the time since the last injection were found between the groups (all \( P \geq 0.15 \)), thus further minimizing the possibility of confounding effects of botulinum toxin on brain activity.

Clinical information on the symptom onset, duration, and severity was obtained based on the multidisciplinary approach for evaluation of voice tremor and related disorders. Voice and speech of patients were recorded during production of sustained vowels, repeated syllables, and a set of 20 symptom-provoking sentences in 15 patients with ETv and 24 patients with DTv. Recordings in three patients with ETv and one patient with DTv were missing because of the technical issues. The tremor severity was perceptually evaluated using a visual analogue scale (0 = no tremor, 100 = most severe tremor).

All subjects gave written informed consent before study participation, which was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai and Mass General Brigham.

**Imaging Data Collection**

All subjects underwent high-resolution structural and functional brain MRI on a 3.0 T Philips scanner equipped with an eight-channel head coil. Whole-brain fMRI data were obtained using a gradient-weighted echo-planar imaging (EPI) pulse sequence and blood oxygen level-dependent contrast with sparse-sampling event-related design (effective repetition time [TR], 10.6 s; echo time...
TABLE 1. Demographics of study participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Essential Tremor of Voice (n = 18)</th>
<th>Dystonic Tremor of Voice (n = 25)</th>
<th>Laryngeal Dystonia (n = 25)</th>
<th>Healthy Controls (n = 25)</th>
<th>Corrected P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (female/male)</td>
<td>15/3</td>
<td>22/3</td>
<td>22/3</td>
<td>19/6</td>
<td>≥0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, yr (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>62.5 ± 12.2</td>
<td>60.2 ± 10.8</td>
<td>53.7 ± 9.5</td>
<td>54.1 ± 8.5</td>
<td>≥0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset, yr (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>51.7 ± 17.1</td>
<td>46.7 ± 13.1</td>
<td>40.2 ± 11.2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>≥0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of disease, yr (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>10.9 ± 9.4</td>
<td>13.5 ± 11.3</td>
<td>13.5 ± 7.7</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>≥0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tremor severity (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>61.3 ± 20.8</td>
<td>50.1 ± 20.5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dystonia subtype</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>17 AD/VT</td>
<td>17 AD/8 AB</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botulinum toxin treatment (n)</td>
<td>2 of 18</td>
<td>10 of 25</td>
<td>17 of 25</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration, yr (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>19.5 ± 20.5</td>
<td>9.5 ± 7.0</td>
<td>8.9 ± 7.2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>≥0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time since last injection, mo (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>17.1 ± 26.0</td>
<td>6.0 ± 2.7</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>≥0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrally acting medications or agents</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handedness (Edinburgh Inventory)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive status (MMSE/MoCA)</td>
<td>&gt;27/20</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic status</td>
<td>No mutations for TOR1A/DYT1, TUBB4A/DYT4, THAP1/DYT6, or GNAL/DYT25</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical comparisons were made between each patient group and control subjects, as well as between patient groups using two-sample t test for continuous variables and the chi-square test for categorical variables, corrected for multiple comparisons.

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; N/A, not applicable; AD, adductor; AB, abductor; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment.

[TE], 30 ms; flip angle [FA], 90°; field of view [FOV] 240 mm; voxel size 3.75 × 3.75 × 4 mm), which minimized scanning artifacts because of possible orofacial movements and neutralized the scanner noise interference with acoustic stimulus presentation. The experimental task included 10 English symptom-provoking sentences (e.g., “Jack ate eight apples,” “Tom is in the army”) and a resting condition as a baseline, which were presented in a pseudorandomized order. This experimental design was extensively used in previous studies and demonstrated to activate voice- and speech-related brain regions and capture alterations associated with DTv and LD.20–24

High-resolution whole-brain T1-weighted images were acquired with 3D-magnetization prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo sequence (TR, 7.5 ms; TE, 2 ms; inversion time [TI], 1000 ms; FA, 8°; FOV, 240 mm; slice thickness, 1.0 mm) and used as an anatomical reference for brain activation and for analysis of CT and gray matter volume.

Whole-brain diffusion-weighted images were acquired using a single-shot spin-echo EPI sequence along 60 non-collinear directions and one volume without diffusion encoding (b0 image) (TR, 13,000 ms; FOV, 240 mm; matrix 96 × 96 mm zero-filled to 256 × 256 mm; slice thickness, 2.4 mm; b, 1000 s/mm²).

fMRI Analysis

Analysis was performed using the afni_proc.py processing pipeline of AFNI software. In brief, following the removal of the first two volumes to account for the magnetization equilibrium, time series were registered to the volume collected closest in time to the anatomical scan using heptic polynomial interpolation; aligned to the anatomical scan; spatially normalized to the MNI space; spatially smoothed with a 4-mm Gaussian filter; and normalized to the percent signal change. A regressor for the task was convolved with a canonical hemodynamic response function and entered into a multiple regression model to derive the blood oxygen level–dependent response.

Control for motion artifacts included regression of motion parameters, censoring of TRs, and censoring of outlier TRs. Regression of motion parameters was based on six motion parameter estimates calculated during the realignment of the EPI volumes that were included as covariates of no interest and three quadratic polynomials that were used to model baseline drifts for each imaging run. Censoring of TRs excluded TRs where the Euclidean norm of the motion derivative was ≥1.0; this cutoff was set based on simulations of motion artifacts at the presence of a slow effective TR of 10.6 s. Because outliers may capture residual motion in some cases where the motion parameters do not, additional censoring of outlier TRs was performed to ensure the stringent removal of TRs containing residual motion artifacts. Ten subjects with more than 25% of censored TRs were excluded from final analysis. The final fMRI cohort included 14 patients with ETv (age 60 ± 12.4 years, 11 women/3 men), 25 patients with LD/DTv (age 60.2 ± 10.8 years, 22 women/3 men), 21 patients with LD without DTv or any other tremor (age 54.5 ± 9.2 years, 18 women/3 men), and 24 healthy control subjects (age
54.6 ± 8.3 years, 18 women/6 men). Their Euclidean norm motion values were within the acceptable limits for ETv (0.35 ± 0.13), LD/DTv (0.38 ± 0.11), LD (0.43 ± 0.12), and healthy controls (0.36 ± 0.13). One-way analysis of variance found no statistically significant differences in the Euclidean norm motion parameters between the groups (F1,83 = 2.63; P = 0.11).

CT Analysis

MRI data from all 68 patients and 25 control subjects were used for the analysis of brain structural alterations because motion artifacts did not impact structural images. T1-weighted images underwent preprocessing using the standard pipeline of FreeSurfer software. To calculate the surface-based anatomical measures, we reconstructed models of white and gray matter surfaces from T1 volumes. CT was measured as the distance between these surfaces at each vertex. Careful visual inspection of all MRIs and manual corrections of reconstructed surfaces were made, as needed, including the correction of erroneous skull striping by adjusting watershed parameters, manual editing of skull tissue, and an addition of control points to normalize intensity for white matter surface reconstruction. The resultant CT maps were spatially normalized to the MNI space.

Gray Matter Volume Analysis

The voxel-based morphometry analysis was conducted using the Computational Anatomy Toolbox (CAT12) running on MATLAB version 9.2. Whole-brain structural data were segmented into gray matter, white matter, and cerebrospinal fluid. Bias correction was performed to remove intensity nonuniformities. Gray matter probability maps were nonlinearily registered to the MNI space and smoothed using an 8-mm full-width half-maximum of the Gaussian kernel. The total intracranial volume of each subject was calculated as a covariate for group analysis.

White Matter Integrity Analysis

Diffusion-weighted images were processed using FSL software. Eddy current distortions and motion artifacts were corrected using affine registration to the b0 reference. Fractional anisotropy (FA), which reflects the white matter tract integrity, was calculated by fitting the diffusion model at each voxel. Using the tract-based spatial statistics pipeline, individual FA maps were registered to the MNI space, and the alignment-invariant tract representation known as the mean FA skeleton was generated at the threshold of 0.2. Each subject’s FA map was projected onto the mean FA skeleton, resulting in a 4D skeletonized FA volume.

Statistical Analysis

First, we contrasted patients with LD/DTv with a separate group of patients with LD without DTv or any other form of tremor to separate neural alterations in DTv from those in co-occurring LD. This was performed using a two-tailed independent t test for each imaging modality at family-wise error (FWE)-corrected P ≤ 0.05, voxelwise threshold P ≤ 0.01, and a minimum cluster size of 343 mm³, as determined by 3dClustSim program of AFNI software.

To examine the disorder-specific changes in brain activity, CT, gray matter volume, and white matter integrity, we used two-tailed independent t tests to assess differences in each patient group (ETv, DTv) compared with the same group of healthy control subjects. Subjects’ age and sex were included as covariates of no interest. In voxel-based morphometry analysis, the total intracranial volume was included as an additional covariate. The overall statistical significance was set at FWE-corrected P ≤ 0.05, voxelwise threshold P ≤ 0.01, and a minimum cluster size of 715 mm³, according to 3dClustSim. To visualize the spatial distribution of overlapping and distinct alterations in ETv and DTv from the normal baseline in each imaging modality, we performed conjunction analyses between the a priori statistically thresholded parametric maps of ETv versus controls and DTv versus controls. To examine distinct abnormalities in ETv and DTv, we performed direct group comparisons between these cohorts using independent t tests at FWE-corrected P ≤ 0.05, voxelwise threshold P ≤ 0.01, and a minimum cluster size of 120 mm³, according to 3dClustSim.

Brain regions showing statistically significant abnormalities were examined for their relationship with the clinical features of voice tremor, including the age of onset, disease duration, and symptom severity. Voxelwise Spearman rank correlation coefficients were computed between abnormal regions and clinical characteristics at corrected P ≤ 0.05 using 3dTcorr1D program of AFNI software.

In a pilot study, the ETv cohort was stratified into patients with isolated ET of voice (n = 9) and patients with combined ET of voice and hand (n = 9). Independent t tests assessed differences between these two subgroups at FWE-corrected P ≤ 0.05, voxelwise threshold P ≤ 0.01, and a 3dClustSim-defined minimum cluster size of 154 mm³.

Results

Common Abnormalities in Patients With ETv and DTv Compared With Healthy Individuals

Compared to healthy control subjects, patients with ETv and DTv showed commonly increased functional activity during speech production in the left primary
somatosensory cortex and decreased activity in the left inferior parietal lobule at FWE-corrected \( P \leq 0.05 \) (Fig. 1A.I and Table 2). Common structural alterations included bilateral CT increases in the bilateral superior parietal lobule, extending to the bilateral primary somatosensory cortex and left primary motor cortex, as well as increased gray matter volume in the left inferior temporal gyrus at FWE-corrected \( P \leq 0.05 \) (Fig. 1B.I–C.I and Table 2). No statistically significant changes in white matter integrity were found in patients with ETv and DTv compared with control subjects.

**Distinct Abnormalities in ETv Versus DTv**

In addition, patients with ETv were characterized by functional changes in the right cerebellum (lobule VIII), whereas patients with DTv had alterations in the right insula and superior temporal gyrus (area TE 3) at FWE-corrected \( P \leq 0.05 \) (Fig. 1A.II and Table 2). Structural differences in patients with ETv included CT changes in the right inferior temporal gyrus, whereas patients with DTv showed CT alteration in the left primary motor cortex and gray matter volumetric changes in the left premotor cortex extending to the bilateral supplementary motor area (SMA) at FWE-corrected \( P \leq 0.05 \) (Fig. 1B.II–C.II and Table 2). Again, no statistically significant differences in white matter integrity were found between the two patient groups.

**Distinct Abnormalities in Isolated ET of Voice Versus Combined ET of Voice and Hand**

CT in the left superior and inferior parietal lobules and right superior temporal gyrus was increased in patients with combined ET of voice and hand compared with those with isolated ET of voice at FWE-corrected \( P \leq 0.05 \) (Fig. 1D.I). No statistically significant differences in brain activity, gray matter volume, or white matter integrity were found between these groups at FWE-corrected \( P \leq 0.05 \).

**Relationship Between Disorder Clinical Characteristics and Neural Alterations**

ETv severity was negatively associated with CT changes in the bilateral superior parietal lobule (left: \( R_s = −0.68, P = 0.006 \); right: \( R_s = −0.69, P = 0.005 \) and gray matter volume in the right inferior temporal gyrus (\( R_s = −0.68, P = 0.005 \)) (Fig. 2A). In patients with DTv, a significant negative correlation was found between symptom severity and decreased left gray matter volume in the left premotor cortex (\( R_s = −0.43, P = 0.036 \)) (Fig. 2B).

**Discussion**

Our findings suggest the presence of a broad overlap between cortical alterations in ETv and DTv that involve brain regions responsible for the integration of multisensory information during speech production. Concurrently, focal subcortical versus cortical abnormalities in regions controlling motor preparation to speech production differentiate ETv from DTv (Fig. 3).

Among commonly altered brain regions in both forms of voice tremor were the primary sensorimotor cortex, superior/inferior parietal lobules, and inferior temporal gyrus. The role of the primary sensorimotor cortex in the pathophysiology of these movement disorders is apparent. Abnormal activity, functional connectivity, and structural organization relevant to dystonia- or tremor-affected body representations within this region have been widely reported in the literature and characterized as disorder-relevant impairments. Relevant to ETv and DTv, alterations in the bilateral primary somatosensory and left primary motor cortices point to a similar pathophysiological mechanism leading to abnormal coupling between the sensory input and motor output specifically during speech production.

Because the sensory system plays an important role in driving the motor system, these sensory alterations further extended into parietal and temporal cortical regions, adding deficiencies in processing of action-oriented spatial guidance, movement sequencing, and sensorimotor integration to the common pathophysiology of ETv and DTv. Changes in the parietal region have been previously shown to instigate the top-down alterations within the sensorimotor network, being linked to both polygenic and extrinsic risks for the development of dystonia and tremor. It was also shown that parietal alterations, together with primary sensorimotor abnormalities, may represent an important biomarker for accurate diagnostic classification of these patients from healthy individuals. Alterations in the inferior temporal cortex suggest the presence of similarly aberrant pattern recognition for lexical and semantic processing during speech production in patients with ETv and DTv. Together, our findings indicate that the sensorimotor cortical control of processing and execution of complex movement sequences is commonly compromised across the voice tremor spectrum disorders.

The prominence of bilateral superior parietal and right inferior temporal alterations in patients with ETv is further evident from their significant negative correlations with symptom severity, indicating that patients with more severe ETv may reverse the abnormal increases of CT and gray matter volume in these
regions. However, abnormalities in these regions did not appear to return to the levels observed in healthy individuals (Fig. 2), pointing to a rather pathophysiologically compensatory cause of these structural changes. In contrast, although patients with DTv exhibited similarly increased CT and gray matter volume in parietal and temporal regions compared with healthy controls, they did not show correlations between these alterations and their tremor characteristics, suggesting an alternative mechanism of these changes in DTv compared with ETv. Furthermore, distinct changes of the preparatory components of the speech motor control were characterized by alterations in the cerebellum in ETv and the SMA–premotor cortex, insula, and superior temporal gyrus in DTv. The cerebellum has long been considered to be primarily impaired in ET, likely giving rise to aberrant oscillations within the
specific ETv, we found focal functional alterations in the cerebellar lobule VIII, which is known to be functionally coupled with the sensorimotor cortex.32,33 This region has been previously shown to coordinate the production of various motor behaviors, including verb generation, temporal sequencing, and ordering of syllables, as well as the timing of speech motor commands.32,34,35 The more significant cerebellar role in the ETv pathophysiologial axis may thus involve altered refinement of internal speech motor models and abnormal modulation of motor sequences for speech output (Fig. 3).

Conversely, DTv was characterized by functional and structural alterations in cortical regions that are responsible for motor planning, selection, and execution of complex sequences during speech production. Among these, abnormalities in the SMA and dorsal premotor cortex may be linked to those in the superior parietal and primary motor cortex and contribute to the altered temporal representation of motor sequences and higher-order processing of subsequently ordered motor programs relevant to speech production.36–39 Notably, DTv severity showed a significant negative correlation with changes in the gray matter volume of the premotor cortex, suggesting that patients with more severe symptoms had decreases of volumetric changes in this region. Again, because the overall premotor volume remained increased in patients with DTv compared with healthy controls and patients with ETv, we suggest that the observed severity-dependent decreases in this

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anatomical Reference</th>
<th>Cluster Size (mm$^3$)</th>
<th>Cluster Peak t Value</th>
<th>Cluster Peak x, y, z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Common Abnormalities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional activity during speech production</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients &gt; controls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. primary somatosensory cortex</td>
<td>ETv 86</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>−19, −36, 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTv 556</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>−12, −39, 55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients &lt; controls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. inferior parietal lobule</td>
<td>ETv 599</td>
<td>−3.48</td>
<td>−47, −53, 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTv 471</td>
<td>−3.3</td>
<td>−47, −50, 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical thickness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients &gt; controls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. superior parietal lobule extending to primary somatosensory and primary motor cortex</td>
<td>ETv 1373</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>−16, −47, 66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTv 1535</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>−13, −49, 65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. superior parietal lobule extending to primary somatosensory cortex</td>
<td>ETv 1094</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>−22, −42, 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTv 1011</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>−17, −44, 68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. inferior temporal gyrus</td>
<td>ETv 596</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>−29, −13, −63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTv 16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>−30, −16, −61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray matter volume</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients &gt; control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. inferior temporal gyrus</td>
<td>ETv 801</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>58, −24, −27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTv 2291</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>58, −26, −26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Distinct Abnormalities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional activity during speech production</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETv &gt; DTv patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. cerebellum (lobule VIII)</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>−4.8</td>
<td>37, −43, −43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTv &gt; ETv patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. insula</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>37, −12, 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. superior temporal gyrus</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>61, −29, −13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical thickness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETv &gt; DTv patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. inferior temporal gyrus</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>46, −28, −25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTv &gt; ETv patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. primary motor cortex</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>−41, −12, 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET voice + hand &gt; ET voice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. inferior parietal lobule</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>−5.6</td>
<td>−57, −26, 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. superior parietal lobule</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>−4.2</td>
<td>−11, −41, 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. superior temporal gyrus</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>−4.9</td>
<td>59, −7, −3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray matter volume</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTv &gt; ETv patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. premotor cortex extending to bilateral supplementary motor area</td>
<td>3460</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>−24, −14, 64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: L., left; ETv, essential voice tremor; DTv, dystonic tremor of voice; R., right; ET, essential tremor.
Clinical correlates of neural abnormalities in essential tremor of voice and dystonic tremor of voice. Significant correlations between structural abnormalities in gray matter volume and cortical thickness and the severity of (A) essential tremor of voice (ETv) and (B) dystonic tremor of voice (DTv). The corresponding violin plots show the distribution of abnormal regional values in each patient group and healthy controls.
region may be secondary to the gray matter remodeling as an adaptation to increased symptom severity. Further DTv-distinct abnormalities in the superior temporal gyrus were localized in the higher-order auditory cortex (area TE 3), which is involved in the spectrottemporal analysis of auditory input. Alterations in this region are likely to be associated with deficient monitoring of auditory feedback during speech production, leading to an inability to adjust and modulate pitch perturbations in patients with DTv. Finally, the insula is an important sensorimotor relay structure converging speech motor planning, auditory temporal processing, internal speech movement representations, and cognitive control of speech production. Its selective alterations in DTv, but not ETv, may suggest the presence of task-specific interruptions of neural information flow between different components of the speech controlling system, placing DTv closer to the pathophysiological axis of dystonia (Fig. 3). Taken together, our findings of DTv-specific neural alterations point to the faulty processing of motor commands as a result of more significant deficits at the planning and preparatory stages for task production.

A somewhat unexpected finding of this study was the absence of significant white matter alterations in patients with ETv and DTv. This is a discrepancy from previous studies that reported white matter changes in the cerebellum, corpus callosum, thalamocortical visual pathways in ET, as well as the posterior limb of the internal capsule in DTv. Possible explanations may include the stringent criteria for selection of homogeneous patient populations and the methodological constraints, such as differences in diffusion-weighted imaging sequence acquisition and a stringent statistical thresholding employed in this study that might have excluded smaller clusters of between-group differences reported in the previous literature.

Our preliminary finding of distinct parietal and temporal changes in CT in patients with combined ET of voice and hand compared with isolated ET of voice point to potentially increased complexity of neural changes associated with the presence of additional...
symptoms. Notably, the areas of alterations in patients with combined ET of voice and hand did not overlap with those found in isolated ETv or DTv, suggesting that abnormalities identified in the main study were relevant to voice tremor characteristics rather than being contaminated by the presence of tremor in other body regions. A detailed investigation of the differences between various clinical phenotypes of ET is warranted in future studies.

In conclusion, this study is among the first to our knowledge to demonstrate common and distinct functional and structural brain abnormalities in patients with voice tremor disorders, ETv and DTv. Combined with previous studies in DTv and LD,12,13 our findings point to the presence of a spectrum of clinical and pathophysiological characteristics across these disorders, favoring a more heterogeneous rather than dichotomous diagnostic classification of ETv and DTv. Ultimately, a refined characterization of disorder-specific abnormalities at distinct pathophysiological levels will aid the objective differential diagnosis of these disorders and help future identification of specific therapeutic targets for patients with various forms of voice tremor.
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